



'সমানো মন্ত্র: সমিতি: সমানী'

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH BENGAL
B.A. Honours 2nd Semester Examination, 2022

GE1-P2-PHILOSOPHY

Time Allotted: 2 Hours

Full Marks: 60

*The figures in the margin indicate full marks.
All symbols are of usual significance.*

1. Answer any **four** questions from the following: 3×4 = 12
 - (a) Distinguish between figure and mood. 3
 - (b) What is the function of copula? 3
 - (c) What do you mean by opposition of proposition? 3
 - (d) What do you mean by analogy? 3
 - (e) What is meant by distribution of terms? 3
 - (f) When an argument is valid in Venn diagram? 3

2. Answer any **four** questions from the following: 6×4 = 24
 - (a) Test the validity or invalidity of the following arguments by truth table: 3+3 = 6
 - (i) $p \supset q / \therefore p \supset (p \cdot q)$
 - (ii) $A \supset B$
 $\sim B / \therefore \sim A$
 - (b) What is conversion? What are the rules of conversion? Can there be simple conversion of 'A' proposition? 2+2+2 = 6
 - (c) Reduce the following into logical propositions and show which term / terms are distributed: 2+2+2 = 6
 - (i) Eggs are not square
 - (ii) Snakes are poisonous
 - (iii) Not all flowers are red.
 - (d) Determine the validity or invalidity of the following arguments with the help of Venn diagram: 3+3 = 6
 - (i) EOI — 1st figure
 - (ii) OAO — 3rd figure.
 - (e) What is argument by analogy? Distinguish between good analogy and bad analogy. 2+4 = 6
 - (f) Explain in brief the fallacy of Illicit major and Illicit minor with suitable examples. 3+3 = 6

3. Answer any *two* questions from the following: 12×2 = 24
- (a) Determine the validity or invalidity of the following by Syllogistic rules: 4+4+4 = 12
- (i) Not all politicians are honest.
All politicians are humans.
Therefore, some humans are not honest.
- (ii) $A \supset B$
 $B \supset C / \therefore A \supset C$
- (iii) $K \vee L$
 $K / \therefore \sim L$
- (b) What is opposition of proposition? Explain and illustrate different kinds of opposition of proposition. 3+9 = 12
- (c) Use truth table to determine the following statements form as tautology, self-contradictory or contingent: 4+4+4 = 12
- (i) $[p \supset (p \supset q)] \supset q$
- (ii) $p \equiv [p \cdot (q \supset p)]$
- (iii) $(p \supset q) \equiv \sim (p \cdot \sim q)$
- (d) What are the criteria for the appraisal of analogical argument? Discuss. 12

—x—